## **Cited Trial Transcript Excerpts**

538 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, et al.,: Plaintiffs, : Case No. 1:18-cv-950 -vs-COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC., et al.,: Defendants. -----: VOLUME 3 (P.M. Portion) TRIAL TRANSCRIPT December 4, 2019 Before: Liam O'Grady, USDC Judge And a Jury

- 1 | network. And the group of people who connect around a specific
- 2 file, who are at some point downloading, but then eventually
- 3 distributing the file, that group of people who are
- 4 participating in the distribution of that file collectively are
- 5 referred to as the swarm.
- 6 So you can almost think of it like a swarm of bees.
- 7 | I mean, I think that's where the term originates from. But
- 8 | it's that group of people who are distributing that unique
- 9 file.
- 16:44:02 10 Q. And you are saying group of people. Would that be the
  - 11 same as calling them peers?
  - 12 A. Correct, yep.
  - 13 Q. And then do you preserve the data that you collect from
  - 14 | this GDPI scanning?
  - 15 A. Yes.
  - 16 Q. And does it get digested in some manner?
  - 17 A. Yeah, we preserve it and store it in a database. And then
  - 18 through kind of the reporting interfaces that we have, we
  - 19 present the data in a more kind of digestible format for humans
- 16:44:34 20 to look at in reports and things like that.
  - 21 | Q. And is that data organized by ISP?
  - 22 A. Yes. That's one of the views, yeah.
  - 23 Q. And in your experience, how reliable is this data?
  - 24 A. It's very reliable. In the ways that we use it for
  - 25 | evaluating the overall volume and activity of the piracy, you

- 1 know, for that type of an analysis, it's extremely accurate.
- 2 Q. Now, you're not using this data to send notices, are you?
- 3 A. No, never.
- 4 Q. And so, in the -- in the period at issue in the contract
- 5 | that's in front of you, PX 4, did you have GDPI data for Cox?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And do you have a recollection of what that GDPI data
- 8 showed?
- 9 A. I do. I don't recall the exact number, but I remember
- 16:45:38 10 | there being more than 10,000 infringements per day that we
  - 11 | observed across that data set related to subscribers or, you
  - 12 know, Cox customers.
  - 13 Q. Now, you said per day, right?
  - 14 A. Correct.
  - 15 Q. Now, Appendix A, is that -- are those figures per day?
  - 16 A. No. Those are -- those are monthly volumes.
  - 17 Q. So roughly speaking, if you were sending notices 20 days
  - 18 | in a month at 10,000 a day, what would that have been, the
  - 19 volume?
- 16:46:17 20 A. I'm sorry, 10,000 a day?
  - 21 Q. Yeah --
  - 22 A. For 20 days?
  - 23 Q. For 20 days in a month, what would that volume have been?
  - 24 A. So 200,000. Testing my math skills.
  - 25 Q. You passed. Are you familiar with how the MarkMonitor

924 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, et al.,: Plaintiffs, : Case No. 1:18-cv-950 -vs-COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC., et al.,: Defendants. -----: VOLUME 5 (A.M. Portion) TRIAL TRANSCRIPT December 6, 2019 Before: Liam O'Grady, USDC Judge And a Jury

- 1 A. The ones that they did not receive, yes.
- 2 Q. And the reason that Cox blacklisted Rightscorp is because
- 3 there was language in the notice and a link in the notice where
- 4 Rightscorp was offering to settle the copyright infringement
- 5 | claim with Cox's subscriber, right?
- 6 A. Okay. I think we're talking about, again -- one, you were
- 7 talking about when they sent them and we blocked them because
- 8 | they were trying to flood the system.
- 9 Now you're talking about the other type where we said
- 12:36:31 10 | we would not process them and send them to customers because
  - 11 | they had language in them that was, we felt, akin to, you know,
  - 12 | blackmail extortion. I mean, they were trying to get them to
  - 13 pay up or else.
  - 14 Q. Copyright owners, when they have their rights infringed,
  - 15 | are allowed to assert those rights, right? You don't dispute
  - 16 that?
  - 17 A. Well, but they were preying upon peoples' lack of
  - 18 knowledge. Yes, they can assert their rights.
  - 19 Q. Ms. Trickey, if you -- I'm going to try to ask my
- 12:37:01 20 questions clearly, and if you could answer my questions, that
  - 21 | would be great.
  - 22 A copyright owner whose works are infringed by
  - 23 | peer-to-peer has a right, you know this as a lawyer, to assert
  - 24 | a claim against that infringer, right?
  - 25 A. Yes.

2483 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, et al.,: Plaintiffs, : Case No. 1:18-cv-950 -vs-COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC., et al.,: Defendants. -----: VOLUME 10 (P.M. Portion) TRIAL TRANSCRIPT December 16, 2019 Before: Liam O'Grady, USDC Judge And a Jury

```
2654
            cited, which is really all we need?
        1
        2
                      MR. EATON: There is more than I said aloud.
        3
                      THE COURT: Well, I am sure you focused on the
        4
            important cases.
        5
                      MR. EATON: 100 percent.
        6
                      THE COURT: I will give you -- why don't you just
        7
            give us the cases you relied on --
        8
                      MR. EATON: We will do that after.
        9
                      THE COURT: -- and we will take a look.
                                                                Thank you.
                      But if you, for the record purposes, want to submit a
18:58:42 10
       11
            brief, I certainly am not going to stop you from doing that.
       12
                      MR. EATON: Thank you, Your Honor.
       13
                      MR. OPPENHEIM: Your Honor, otherwise in all right.
       14
            30, there is also -- there was a discussion we had on Thursday
       15
            on --
       16
                      THE COURT: On the last paragraph?
       17
                      MR. OPPENHEIM:
                                      The last two paragraphs, I think.
       18
            think the last paragraph there shouldn't be any dispute about
       19
            that.
                   That is Woolworth.
18:59:17 20
                      The second-to-last paragraph, there was a discussion
       2.1
            about.
       22
                      THE COURT: "The effect the award may have on other
       23
            Internet service providers in the marketplace"?
       24
                      MR. OPPENHEIM: Yes, Your Honor.
       25
                      THE COURT: And have you thought about that? Do you
```

2655 1 want to withdraw that sentence? 2 MR. OPPENHEIM: I kind of like it, Your Honor. 3 think I would like to have the Court deliver it. I recognize 4 that you're laughing, Your Honor, so maybe I'm just going to 5 have to just lose this one gracefully. 6 THE COURT: Yeah, I am going to cut that out. mean, do you want to modify and say, "the effect the award may 8 have on Cox"? Or do you want to leave it, "in considering what 9 amount would have a deterrent effect, you may consider Cox's total profits"? 19:00:08 10 11 MR. OPPENHEIM: It is certainly appropriate for the 12 jury in considering deterrence and punishment to consider Cox's 13 total profits. And that is true. And though I like the idea 14 of adding in "the effect the award may have on Cox," I think 15 even if you end it just at "profits," we could live with that, 16 Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. EATON: Sorry, I'm just trying to see what --19 what was the idea that you just proposed? 19:00:49 20 "The effect the award may have on Cox in THE COURT: the marketplace." 21 22 MR. EATON: We don't have objection to that, Your 23 Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. I will give it that way.

25

MR. EATON: On this one, there were -- their bench